



Self-Reported Pain, Pressure, and Satisfaction During Cesarean Delivery in Patients with Spanish as Preferred Language

Stephanie Wong, BA; Alexander “Sascha” Morris, BS; Scott Kelly, BS; George Ghafari, BS;
Jean Guglielminotti, MD-PhD; Ruth Landau, MD
Department of Anesthesiology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center

- Over 25 million people (age > 5y) in the U.S. have limited English proficiency (8.5% of population)
- Barriers to communication are associated with worse patient outcomes
- Non-English speaking patients have lower rates of epidural analgesia use during labor and higher rates of primary Cesarean delivery (CD)
- Recent studies found that Spanish as preferred language was a risk factor for pain during CD, with one study finding that Spanish-speakers report near-twice higher rates of pain
- In this analysis, we evaluated differences in self-reported pain, pressure, and patient satisfaction among English and Spanish-speaking patients

Hypothesis:

We hypothesized that patients with Spanish as their preferred language might experience barriers in communication resulting in higher levels of pain and pressure and lower satisfaction with anesthesia care

Background

Methods

- Prospective survey-based study conducted in 2025 at large academic center
- Questions assessed sensation, satisfaction, and patient-provider ethnic concordance as perceived by the patient
- 1,005 patients undergoing all CD types under neuraxial anesthesia (spinal, CSE, epidural in situ) completed a survey within 48h after CD. Anesthesia data pulled from EMR
- English-speaking patients completed survey in English; Spanish-speaking patients completed in Spanish with access to an interpreter



Outcomes

Primary outcomes:

Incidence and severity of pain and pressure/tugging during CD (severity defined as none/mild, vs moderate/ severe)

Secondary outcomes:

- Satisfaction with pain or pressure management
- Importance of perceived patient-provider ethnic concordance
- Overall satisfaction

Analysis: outcomes assessed using Fischer exact or χ^2 tests

Table 1: Patient-reported outcomes according to preferred spoken language

	English (n=737)	Spanish (n=268)	P-value
Sharp pain			
Severity of pain			0.512
None	688 (93.5%)	252 (94.4%)	
Mild	21 (2.9%)	7 (2.6%)	
Moderate	15 (2.0%)	2 (0.7%)	
Severe	12 (1.6%)	6 (2.2%)	
If present, was it adequately addressed?			0.019
Yes, did not need analgesia	0 (0.0%)	3 (20.0%)	
Yes, received epidural/IV analgesia	40 (83.3)	11 (73.3%)	
No, wanted more analgesia	3 (6.2%)	1 (6.7%)	
Not sure	5 (10.4%)	0 (0.0%)	
Pressure/tugging			
Severity of pressure/tugging?			<0.001
None	96 (13.0%)	58 (21.6%)	
Mild	346 (46.9%)	136 (50.7%)	
Moderate or severe	227 (30.8%)	60 (22.4%)	
Severe	68 (9.2%)	14 (5.2%)	
If present, was it adequately addressed?			0.221
Yes, did not need analgesia	513 (80.5%)	169 (80.5%)	
Yes, received epidural/IV analgesia	92 (14.5%)	33 (15.8%)	
No, wanted more analgesia	12 (1.9%)	0 (0.0%)	
Not sure	20 (3.1%)	8 (3.8%)	

Pain:

- Incidence of pain was 6.5% in English group, 5.6% in Spanish group
- Spanish-speakers more likely to not want more analgesia

Pressure:

- Incidence of pressure was 87% in English group, 78.4% in Spanish group
- Lower rates of moderate or severe pressure in Spanish group (35.2% vs 46%, P=0.006)

Table 1 (cont.): Patient-reported outcomes according to preferred spoken language

	English (n=737)	Spanish (n=268)	P- value
Satisfaction			
Overall satisfaction with anesthesia care			0.722
Very Satisfied	625 (84.8%)	233 (86.9%)	
Satisfied	92 (12.5%)	31 (11.6%)	
Neutral	13 (1.8%)	2 (0.7%)	
Unsatisfied	7 (0.9%)	2 (0.7%)	
Communication and ethnicity			
Provider directly asked/offered intra-op meds ¹			0.002
Yes	480 (65.1%)	158 (59.0%)	
No	187 (25.4%)	96 (35.8%)	
Not sure	70 (9.5%)	14 (5.2%)	
Is ethnic concordance important?			<0.001
Yes, important	144 (19.8%)	105 (39.9%)	
No, not important	558 (76.6%)	142 (54.0%)	
Not sure	26 (3.6%)	16 (6.1%)	
Was there ethnic concordance?			<0.001
All members of the team	37 (5.1%)	37 (13.9%)	
Some members of the team	196 (26.8%)	44 (16.5%)	
None of the team	277 (37.9%)	110 (41.4%)	
Not sure	221 (30.2%)	75 (28.2%)	

Table 2: Importance and presence of ethnic concordance in patients who experienced pain regardless of language

	No pain (n=940)	Pain (n=63)	P- value
Is concordance important?			0.012
Yes, important	223 (23.7%)	25 (39.7%)	
No, not important	665 (70.8%)	34 (54.0%)	
Not sure	40 (4.3%)	2 (3.2%)	
Was there concordance?			0.819
All members of the team	68 (7.2%)	6 (9.5%)	
Some members of the team	223 (23.7%)	16 (25.4%)	
None of the team	363 (38.7%)	23 (36.5%)	
Not sure	280 (29.8%)	16 (25.4%)	

No difference between groups in patient-reported difficulty communicating with anesthesia team

¹ IV analgesia, anxiolytic, or general anesthesia

In a cohort of 737 English-speaking and 268 Spanish-speaking patients:

- Pain incidence was low, pressure incidence was high, and satisfaction was high in this cohort
 - No differences in incidence of pain between groups, but Spanish-speaking patients who had pain were less likely to want more analgesia
 - Spanish-speaking patients experienced overall less frequent and less severe pressure despite expected language barriers
- Findings might be explained by comorbid, obstetric and anesthetic differences between groups. Compared to English-speakers, Spanish group had higher rate of scheduled CD with spinal anesthesia and lower history of anxiety disorder
- Spanish-speaking patients were less likely to be directly offered or asked if they wanted additional intra-op medication
- Ethnic concordance with providers was important to Spanish-speaking patients and patients who experienced pain regardless of language, emphasizing importance of diverse care teams

Our Team



Ruth Landau, MD
Principal Investigator



Jean Guglielminotti, MD-PhD



Stephanie Wong, BA



Sascha Morris, BS



Scott Kelly, BS



George Ghafari, BS

Thank You!