

Neurostimulation to enhance peripheral nerve block catheters during total shoulder arthroplasty: a Pilot Study

Jeremy H Tsui MD, Rakesh Sondekoppam Vijayashankar MD, Myles LaValley MD, Cara Black MD, Philip Jung DO, Devin Daniels DO, Jean-Louis Horn, MD
Stanford Hospital, Department of Regional Anesthesiology



Introduction

The use of peripheral nerve stimulation for acute perioperative pain is a novel technique gaining traction in clinical practice. [1,2,3] Traditional peripheral stimulators were designed for nerve localization rather than augmentation of nerve block quality. Whether electrical stimulation during catheter placement improves block properties such as onset, duration, or postoperative pain remains unclear. This pilot trial evaluates whether neuromodulation during catheter placement enhances block onset and reduces postoperative pain and opioid consumption.

Methods

After IRB approval (Stanford #76523), adults undergoing elective total shoulder arthroplasty with planned interscalene catheter placement were enrolled and randomized to either a catheter with sham stimulation or catheter plus electrical stimulation. Exclusion criteria included age <18, ASA >III, chronic pain, psychiatric disease, coagulopathy, infection, neuropathy, oxygen dependence, pregnancy, incarceration, revision surgery, and local anesthetic allergy. All patients received a 10-mL bolus of 0.5% ropivacaine, followed by postoperative 0.2% ropivacaine infusion via CADD pump with programmed intermittent boluses. In the study group, motor-evoked stimulation (2 Hz, ≤5 mA, 0.3-second pulse) was started 60 seconds before and continued for 90 seconds after local anesthetic injection. Sensory and motor block were assessed using a likert score and were recorded up to 40 minutes post-block. Opioid use (morphine equivalents) and pain scores were measured on postoperative days (POD) 0, 1, 7, and 28.

Table 1.

Outcome	Time window	Control (Sham) mean	Stimulation mean	Mean diff (Stim – Control)	p value	Cohen’s d (approx)	Hedges’ g (approx)	Magnitude
Sensory block score (avg)	<5–40 min	3.1	3.3	+0.20	0.39	+0.52	+0.48	Moderate
Motor block score (avg)	<5–40 min	2.6	3.5	+0.90	0.06	+1.22	+1.13	Large
Opioid consumption (total MME)	POD 0–28	25	17	–8.0	0.59	–0.32	–0.30	Small
Pain score (avg)	POD 0	3.0	1.8	–1.20	0.63	–0.29	–0.26	Small
Pain score (avg)	POD 1	1.8	2.83	+1.03	0.44	+0.46	+0.43	Small–moderate
Pain score (avg)	POD 7	2.67	1.4	–1.27	0.41	–0.50	–0.46	Moderate
Pain score (avg)	POD 28	1.2	0.4	–0.80	0.41	–0.50	–0.46	Moderate

Results

Twelve patients were enrolled (6 per group), with similar sex distribution and mean ages (control 76.2 ± 9.2 vs. study 69.7 ± 4.1 years; p=0.16). Mean sensory block scores through 40 minutes were 3.1 in the control group and 3.3 in the stimulation group (p=0.39). Mean motor block scores were 2.6 versus 3.5, respectively (p=0.06). Total postoperative opioid use over 28 days averaged 25 MME in controls compared with 17 MME in the stimulation group (p=0.59). Mean pain scores on POD 0 (3.0 vs. 1.8), POD 7 (2.7 vs. 1.4), and POD 28 (1.2 vs. 0.4) in the control and the stimulation group respectively (table 1). POD 1 pain scores were similar between groups. Although there was no statistical significance, standardized effect size estimates potential meaningful differences. The effect size for motor block onset favored the stimulation group (Cohen’s d ≈ 1.15), while sensory block onset demonstrated a moderate effect (d ≈ 0.52). Postoperative opioid consumption and pain scores demonstrated small-to-moderate effect sizes (d ≈ 0.3–0.8), consistently favoring neuromodulation.

Discussion

As a pilot study, this trial was not powered for hypothesis testing; however, the observed effect sizes provide important estimates to inform sample size calculations and justify further investigation. Adjunct neuromodulation had a trend of reduced postoperative opioid use and pain scores. This aligns with reports suggesting synergistic benefits of neuromodulation. [4,5] The small sample size limits interpretation, and the completion of the study enrollment will clarify whether neuromodulation meaningfully enhances block quality.

References

- Reddy CG, Flouty OE, Holland MT, Rettenmaier LA, Zanaty M, Elahi F. Novel technique for trialing peripheral nerve stimulation: ultrasonography-guided StimuCath trial. Neurosurgical FOCUS [Internet]. 2017 Mar 1 [cited 2026 Jan 2];42(3):E5–5. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28245667/>
- Sondekoppam RV, Ip V, Ban. Feasibility of Combining Nerve Stimulation and Local Anesthetic Infusion to Treat Acute Postamputation Pain: A Case Report of a Hybrid Technique. A&A Practice [Internet]. 2021 Jun 1 [cited 2026 Jan 2];15(6):e01487–7. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34100779/>
- Siddiqui A, Sekhri N, Salik I, Yu F, Xu JL. Peripheral Nerve Stimulation for Treating Acute Pain Following Traumatic Fracture: A Case Report of Rapid-Onset Analgesia Without Motor Blockade. Cureus [Internet]. 2024 Jun 11 [cited 2026 Jan 2]; Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11238524/>
- Balbinot G, Milosevic M, Morshead CM, Iwasa SN, Zariffa J, Milosevic L, et al. The mechanisms of electrical neuromodulation. The Journal of Physiology. 2024 Dec 30
- Miser J, Seering M, Sondekoppam RV, Ip VHY, Tsui BCH. Single perineural catheter for hybrid technique of combined peripheral nerve stimulation and regional local anesthetic nerve block to manage phantom limb pain: time to jump on the neuromodulation bandwagon? Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine [Internet]. 2021 Nov 26 [cited 2026 Jan 2];47(4):277–8. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34836927/>